UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION)	CASE NO. 1:17-MD-2804
OPIATE LITIGATION)	
)	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:)	
'All Cases")	
)	DISCOVERY RULING NO. 14, PART 29
)	REGARDING WALMART'S
)	PRIVILEGE CLAIMS

AGENDA ITEM 324

During Track Three discovery, defendant Walmart withheld production of certain documents based on attorney-client privilege. Plaintiffs took issue with a number of those decisions, and the parties engaged in a productive meet-and-confer process that narrowed the number of disputed documents. Plaintiffs requested *in camera* review of seventeen of the remaining disputed documents. Walmart agreed to downgrade eight of these documents to "Not Privileged" and submitted the remaining disputed documents to the Special Master for *in camera* review. Both parties submitted a chart summarizing their arguments regarding each contested document. Walmart also submitted a letter brief detailing its arguments in support of privilege. Having considered these submissions carefully, the Special Master now rules on the challenged documents.

I. Legal Standards.

The Special Master has applied the legal standards and authorities set out in all prior "Discovery Rulings No. 14, Part x," and incorporates them by reference. See, e.g., Zigler v. Allstate Ins. Co., 2007 WL 1087607 at *1 (N.D. Ohio Apr. 9, 2007) (a "communication is not privileged simply because it is made by or to a person who happens to be an attorney. To be privileged, the communication must have the *primary* purpose of soliciting legal, rather than business, advice.") (internal quotation marks and citations omitted, emphasis in original); see also Fed. Trade Comm'n v. Abbvie, Inc., 2015 WL 8623076 at *9 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 14, 2015) ("attorneyclient privilege does not apply . . . if the client seeks regulatory advice for a business purpose"). Also, when asserting attorney-client privilege, "[t]he burden of establishing the existence of the privilege rests with the person asserting it." *United States v. Dakota*, 197 F.3d 821 at 825 (6th Cir. 2000). See also docket no. 3584 at 1 ("The burden is on the proponent to prove that the documents are privileged; and to be privileged, the communication must have the primary purpose of soliciting or receiving legal, as opposed to business, advice. That line is sometimes very difficult to draw when . . . [a company] operates in a heavily regulated business and regulatory compliance advice from in-house counsel is therefore part of [the company's] day-to-day business operations."). "Claims of attorney-client privilege are 'narrowly construed because [the privilege] reduces the amount of information discoverable during the course of a lawsuit." Columbia/HCA, 293 F.3d 289 at 294 (quoting United States v. Collins, 128 F.3d 313, 320 (6th Cir. 1997)).

 $^{^{1} \}textit{See, e.g., docket nos. } 1321, 1353, 1359, 1380, 1387, 1395, 1498, 1593, 1610, and 1666.$

II. Rulings.

WMT_PRIV_00007325	Downgraded by Walmart to Not Privileged.
WMT_PRIV_00007464	Privilege Designation Sustained.
WMT_PRIV_00007471	Downgraded by Walmart to Not Privileged.
WMT_PRIV_00007494	Redactions Sustained.
WMT_PRIV_00007709	Sustained.
WMT PRIV 00007710	Downgraded by Walmart to Not Privileged.
WMT PRIV 00007736	Downgraded by Walmart to Not Privileged.
WMT PRIV 00007805	Downgraded by Walmart to Not Privileged.
WMT_PRIV_00007838	Downgraded by Walmart to Not Privileged.
WMT_PRIV_00007841	Overruled.
WMT_PRIV_00007852	Sustained.
WMT_PRIV_00007866	Downgraded by Walmart to Not Privileged.
WMT_PRIV_00007867	Redactions Sustained. ²
WMT_PRIV_00008029	Sustained.
WMT_PRIV_00008071	Downgraded by Walmart to Not Privileged.
WMT PRIV 00008151	Sustained.
WMT_PRIV_00008160	Sustained.

III. Objections.

Any party choosing to object to any aspect of this Ruling must do so on or before July 2, 2021.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

/s/ David R. Cohen
David R. Cohen
Special Master

Dated: June 25, 2021

² As noted on the cover page of WMT_PRIV_00007867, only the "Attachment contained on PDF pages 4-15 [was] withheld from production as privileged." The Special Master treats those pages as "redactions" for purposes of this ruling. The Special Master does not address the balance of WMT_PRIV_00007867.